

Kamlesh Verma v. Mayawati, (2013) 8 SCC 320

Criminal Law

Public Accountability, Vigilance and Prevention of Corruption

CBI and CBI Investigation - Review of order passed in Mayawati, (2012) 8 SCC 106, sought - CBI's power to proceed against Ms Mayawati independently in disproportionate assets cases whether taken away by order under review - Clarified, while dismissing the review petition, that nothing was then said or expressed beyond the subject-matter of the dispute adjudicated in (2012) 8 SCC 106 - Supreme Court in Mayawati, (2012) 8 SCC 106 had quashed FIR lodged against Ms Mayawati by CBI as there was no direction by Court for the same - In absence of any new point/material, review petition dismissed - Clarified that decision in order under review was given on basis of earlier directions of Supreme Court relating to Taj Corridor project, contents of FIR and relief prayed for by petitioner therein i.e. Ms Mayawati, and Supreme Court had not gone into any other aspects relating to claims of any party including CBI and Ms Mayawati, and nothing was said or expressed beyond the subject-matter of that dispute, (2013) 8 SCC 320-A

Criminal Law

Public Accountability, Vigilance and Prevention of Corruption

S. 13(2) r/w S. 13(1)(e) - Freedom of investigating agency (CBI) to proceed independently as per law in disproportionate assets case(s) when case quashed by Supreme Court on technical ground of there being no Court direction for the same, (2013) 8 SCC 320-B

Constitution of India

Art. 137 - Review - Maintainability - Old contentions/arguments - Repetition by new counsel in review - Held, not enough to reopen a concluded matter, (2013) 8 SCC 320-C

Constitution of India

Art. 137 - Review - Maintainability - Principles summarised, (2013) 8 SCC 320-D